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# List of Acronyms and Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADDP</td>
<td>Agribusiness Development and Diversification project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Insemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASF</td>
<td>Agribusiness Support Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AusAid</td>
<td>Australian Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Dry Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodhis</td>
<td>Middlemen in the milk supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>Digestible Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVCA</td>
<td>Dairy Value Chain Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agricultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPK</td>
<td>Khyber Pakhtunkhwa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDDB</td>
<td>Pakistan Livestock and Dairy Development Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFBA</td>
<td>Livestock Farmers &amp; Breeder Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandi</td>
<td>Pakistani markets where animal are bought and sold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maund</td>
<td>37.3242 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>Near infra red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFP</td>
<td>North West Front Provinces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDDC</td>
<td>Punjab Dairy Development Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLDDDB</td>
<td>Punjab Livestock and Dairy Development Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQCA</td>
<td>Pakistan Standards and Quality Control Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>Pakistan Rupee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small to medium business enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMEDA</td>
<td>Small and Medium Development Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNF</td>
<td>Solid Non Fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPU</td>
<td>Semen Production Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDN</td>
<td>Total Digestible Nutrients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>Total Solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>USAID Agribusiness Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>United States Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMCC</td>
<td>Village Milk Collection Centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3 Executive Summary

The underlying report is prepared by M. de Jong (Dairy Value Assessment Consultant) on behalf of CNFA within the framework of the Agribusiness Project, Pakistan. The overall objective of the consultancy by the Dairy Value Chain consultant was to conduct a value chain analysis of the Pakistani dairy subsector, including buffalo milk, and to identify effective intervention strategies for the AP. These strategies should clearly establish the linkages and networks to facilitate the integration of the smallholder producers into commercial value chains.

As listed in the Terms of Reference, the study will consolidate information and previous studies about the sector and provide insights into the private sector-led business opportunities for improving the industry, specifically under the activities of the USAID Agribusiness Project (AP). It will also identify the inherent mitigation factors for business development and make pragmatic, cost effective recommendations for improvement. Such recommendations will have a local focus while reflecting international standards and best practices.

Mr. de Jong visited Pakistan twice i.e. 8 -22 November 2012 and 28 January – 6 February 2013. During the first visit, two workshops were held (Lahore and Karachi), whereas during the second trip, there were meetings and visits to key stakeholders. Based on the findings a number of interventions were formulated.

During the second visit, the proposed interventions were presented and discussed in five (5) focus group meetings, in which subject matter experts and stakeholders participated. The discussions in the focus groups were extremely useful, as this provided the “blessing” of the proposed interventions as well practical advice on the structure, content and “pitfalls” of these interventions.

| Summary - Issues and Constraints (2) |

In short, the following constraints are limiting the productive and financial viability of the dairy/livestock sector.

- **Subsistence Production System**
  Under a subsistence production system, farmers have limited access to inputs required for exploitation of the genetic potential. There is marginal benefit from production. In most parts of the country the dispersal of livestock is so thin that access to services becomes impossible. The milk available is sold based on quantity rather than quality, so there are currently no incentives for value addition of the products.

  Reliable data on farmers’ cost of production was not available. However, the poor genetic resource and poor management practices significantly impacted milk yield per animal and tended to keep the production cost high. Therefore, enhancement in milk yield will reduce production cost and increase farmer’s profit margin. More data collection and cost price calculation is required.

- **Inadequate Fodder Production**
  The overall situation of fodder production is not satisfactory in the country. Fodder production has never received priority in any planning and improvement program. In spite of the fact that fodder is grown on 14-15% of the area, there are severe seasonal shortages both in summer and winter. These seasonal
crunches negatively impact the livestock output. The buffaloes are at the peak of milk production when the winter shortage starts.

- **Supplementary Feeds**
  Supplementary feeds are essential for maintaining the animal nutritional requirements and also animal productivity, especially in the form of milk yield. During the field visits, it was found that quality of feed available in the market is poor. There is a significant element of adulteration with highly toxic materials. Also, these are very expensive. This almost entirely prevents the use of feeds and thus directly impact animal productivity.

- **Improper Breeding Services and Policy**
  There is an overall shortage of breeding services in the whole country. Some areas have a particularly severe deficiency of breeding bulls. It was also noted that the males being used might not even be classified as breeding bulls. This has led to overall low productivity of the stock due to long calving intervals and short lactations. The shortage of breeding services leaves open ground for untrained professionals to resort to unacceptable practices. Para-veterinary staff are promoting cross breeding by selling poor quality semen at high prices. The regulatory procedures do not exist to stop these practices.

The national breeding policy is not well defined. The provinces have no direction for conservation of the well defined, well adapted local breeds. This has led to deterioration of several breeds in the country. Indiscriminate breeding of Sahiwal with exotic blood has produced unforeseen results.

The Sahiwal and Red Sindhis, both of which have built in ruggedness to withstand extremes of weather, disease and management conditions, produce about the same amount of milk as most of the crossbreds under the same regimes. If the fat corrected milk is compared, these two breeds have an edge over the crossbreds. Genetic potential of breeds such as Nile-Ravi has been overlooked. Similarly the Cholistan livestock has received very little attention. Genetic potential of most of the breeds has not been documented on scientific lines.

- **Institutional Weaknesses**
  The institutes conducting research on various aspects of production are not properly geared to undertake it. The research agenda of the institutes also completely overlooks problem-oriented research since there is no feedback from the farmers. The regional importance of the livestock also gets cursory attention. There is also no mechanism for undertaking adaptive research.

- **Technology Transfer**
  The technologies generated do not reach the stakeholders well in time and to the full extent. Also, there is no system of revalidation of the technologies with the changing conditions. The present (poor) extension system attends to animal health aspects only and has no mechanism for transfer of production technologies at the grassroots level.

Finally, high quality vocational training is lacking, although several private companies are providing practical training courses.

- **Extension Services**
  There are variations and gaps in the provision of extension services. The extension services cover less than 30% of the livestock population. The logistical arrangements with the departments are limited. Extension workers stay away from the remote areas. The field staff had apprehensions against devolved services. The quacks are filling the gap and generally add to farmers’ problems through inappropriate
knowledge and unscrupulous practices. In addition, the hospitals and other service-providing agencies are located far away from the production centers.

- **Inadequate Human Resource Development**
  There is no system of imparting adequate hands-on training skills at neither the grassroots level nor any arrangement for post degree refresher courses or updating the skills of middle level workers.

Livestock production activities revolve around women and children who use their local knowledge to redress issues. It is also, under the prevailing social system, difficult to reach this important segment. Despite a growing awareness of the need to reach female farmers, extension services are still skewed towards males.

- **Policy Implications**
  The existing policies for price fixation and capping are not supportive to the farmers, and they therefore stick to outdated methods of production.

Quality control measures have not been implemented for the livestock and products. Since there is no incentive for improved quality, farmers continue to produce whatever outputs they can.

The budgetary allocations to the livestock sector are very low, and there are very limited funds for development expenditures. The larger part of the budget allocation is spent on salaries with poor maintenance of existing infrastructure.

- **Access to Credit**
  Access to credit is limited by cumbersome procedures. The information collected from different stakeholders indicated that high mark up rates, misuse of credit, lengthy procedures, and lack of collateral prevented small holders’ access to credit.

Women who perform major livestock production activities have limited access to credit. A large chunk of landless livestock farmers (particularly women) were always prevented from availing this facility because the procedures required agricultural pass books which are only issued to persons owning agricultural land.

- **Bargaining Power**
  A lack of group/collective action in the absence of cooperatives and associations limits the benefits of economies of scale including farmers’ bargaining power vis-à-vis traders.

- **Shaken Confidence in Public Sector Organizations**
  Over time, people have lost faith in the public sector organizations. Most of the services were devolved without any prior field-testing of the program. The major shuffle in the departments caused confusion and chaos. At places there was rivalry amongst the incumbents. Several instances were quoted during field visits.

- **Interventions**
  Based on the findings during the visits made and discussions held with major stakeholders seven (7) major interventions are indentified i.e.:

1. Awareness, information and dissemination
   1.1. Consumer awareness on milk and milk quality
   1.2. Information and documentation centre
2. Fodder and feed resources
   2.1. Broadening and strengthen hay and silage making
   2.2. Establishment of small scale grinding & mixing unit
   2.3. Introduction of GMP+ at commercial feed mills
   2.4. Introduction of NearInfraRed (NIR) feed and forage analysis

3. Animal genetics
   3.1. Upgrading of existing commercial SPU’s
   3.2. Establishment of a herdbook
   3.3. Increased skills of inseminators
   3.4. Commercial youngstock rearing farms
   3.5. Information dissemination on bulls for sale from imported “pedigree” cows inseminated with proven bulls

4. Vocational training
   4.1. Inventory of existing vocational training programs (Universities and private companies)
   4.2. Quality assessment of the existing vocational training programs
   4.3. Adjustment (enlargement) of existing vocational training programs i.e. Curricula and facilities
   4.4. Promotion of existing vocational training

5. Manure utilization
   5.1. Establishment of on-farm biogas units
   5.2. Establishment of (solar) powered manure separation units

6. Milk handling and pasteurization
   6.1. Establishment of farm bulk milk coolers (500 – 1,500 ltr)
   6.2. Establishment of small scale pasteurisation units (1,000 – 5,000 ltr/day)

7. Institutional
   7.1. Development of a seal of excellence for milk traders, dairy companies, feed mills, milk shops, vocational training units who are meeting set standards
   7.2. Development of a council/platform stimulating regular meetings between stakeholders of subject matter issues
   7.3. Formulation of practical advices and policy papers to the Government
Key Data and Facts

Numerous reports have been read by the consultants (see references). Below a summary of information is provided which is extracted from various reports and other observations. As expected, data provided in these reports are not identical and are sometimes even contradictory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Total Production</th>
<th>Total Production-Alternate Source (if contradictory)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farming households</td>
<td>8 million (2)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals held at farming households</td>
<td>50 million (2)</td>
<td>40.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cattle (2009)</td>
<td>31.8 billion (1)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of buffaloes (2009)</td>
<td>29 billion (1)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National milk production</td>
<td>42 billion</td>
<td>40 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo milk production</td>
<td>62 %</td>
<td>26 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow milk production</td>
<td>34 %</td>
<td>14 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep/goat milk production</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of rural milk producers</td>
<td>71 %</td>
<td>80 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of peri-urban and urban producers</td>
<td>29 %</td>
<td>20 % of which 5% urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk sold in the informal sector i.e. dodhis</td>
<td>97 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk processed and marketed through formal channels</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Pakistan population is forecasted to grow to 234 million by 2025. The country has been facing a domestic deficiency in milk supply and will grow to an estimated deficiency of 55.48 million tonnes in 2020. (1)

The dairy sector plays a significant role in the national economy, and its value is more than that of the wheat and cotton sectors combined. (1)

Over the past ten years, milk production in Pakistan has risen by 36%. This gain in production is largely a result of an increase in animal population rather than in animal productivity (1)
2006 Livestock Census Report: (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location and Type of Livestock</th>
<th>Total Production in Pakistan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Livestock Population</td>
<td>40.64 million head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab Buffalo Population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sindh</td>
<td>64 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK)</td>
<td>26 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baluchistan</td>
<td>1.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab Cattle Population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sindh</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khyber Pakhtunkhwa</td>
<td>23 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baluchistan</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Animals/Household</th>
<th>% of Total Households</th>
<th>% of Total Animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>51 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women are involved in major management activities such as feeding, watering and housing, while men are involved in marketing.

The number of small farms is continuously increasing due to land division under inheritance law. On farms of this kind, crop-livestock mixed farming is practiced.

Province-wide milk production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Milk production x billion ltr</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sind</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFP</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baluchistan</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Milk production from cows increased in the last 10 years at a pace faster than buffalo milk i.e. 42 % versus 33 %.

Data presented in some reports mention that 51.3% farms maintained only buffaloes and 20.9% only cows. The remaining 27.8% maintained mixed herd of buffaloes and cows.
Nearly 30% of household expenditure on food items is on milk and dairy products. Buffalo milk is usually preferred, so it is more expensive than cow’s milk. (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Capita consumption x kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sindh</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baluchistan</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPK</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Farm Operations and Animal Performance and Breeding

5.1 Farming Structure

The dairy farming sector is generally characterized as fragmented and subsistence. With the exception of some peri-urban units, most dairy farming is practiced in mixed crop-livestock systems. Four main systems of milk production are identified:

Comparison of Farming Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Farm</th>
<th>% of Total Farms in Pakistan</th>
<th>Type of Owners</th>
<th>No. and Type of Animals</th>
<th>Avg. Daily Yield</th>
<th>Type of Feed Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smallholder Subsistence</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>1 - 3 buffaloes</td>
<td>3 liters/day per animal</td>
<td>Animals are fed grown fodders (residuals and crops used as cut-and-carry livestock feed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallholder market-oriented semi-subsistence</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>5 buffalo (3 adult, 1 to 2 calves, 1 male calf)</td>
<td>4 - 5 litres/day per animal</td>
<td>Feeding crop residues and other agro-industrial by products. The use of green fodder depends on its economical availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural commercial medium sized</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Family and hired labor</td>
<td>5-30 animals</td>
<td>7-10 liters/day per animal</td>
<td>Feeding crop residues and other agro-industrial by products. The use of green fodder depends on its economical availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Farm</td>
<td>% of Total Farms in Pakistan</td>
<td>Type of Owners</td>
<td>No. and Type of Animals</td>
<td>Avg. Daily Yield</td>
<td>Type of Feed Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peri-Urban/ large commercial &amp; market oriented</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Family and hired labor</td>
<td>ranges from 10 to 200 animals (average 50 animals)</td>
<td>10 liters/day per animal</td>
<td>Green fodder supplemented with concentrates and feeding crop residues and other agro-industrial by products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large scale dairy corporate farming</td>
<td>&gt; 0.1</td>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>1,000 animal 100% cows</td>
<td>20 liters/day per animal</td>
<td>Feeding crop residues and other agro-industrial by products as well as maize silage and hay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Smallholder subsistence**  
Main goal is to meet family requirements at minimal cost.

Still over 60% buffaloes are maintained under this system. Farmers keep 1-3 buffaloes in milk with a heifer or a young calf. The farmers grow some fodder and graze the animals on field bunds/road sides or canal banks. Depending on the location and access, part of the milk is sold. The majority of the production is consumed as raw milk or converted to ghee. The average lactation yield is around 1,200 liters. Women play important roles in raising these animals. (2)

2. **Smallholder market-oriented/semi-subsistence**  
Main goal is to have satisfactory access to a milk market, encouraging them to produce in excess of family requirement.

In the last two decades, due to infrastructure development, the subsistence production has been changing into market oriented production system in the majority of Punjab. The herd size is increasing but, 5-7 animals are usually present in the herd. These animals are stall-fed with some seasonal grazing, and diets are supplemented with concentrates. The management of these herds is better, and average production ranges from 1,800 to 2,000 liters. Over 50% of the milk is marketed mainly through middlemen who collect it from the farm gate. (2)

3. **Rural commercial/ medium**  
Invested in milk production. Same milk marketing channels as the small holder market oriented

This system has developed during the last 25-30 years when some milk processing plants were installed. The herd size is 30 or more animals most of which are lactating. Most of the milk is sold. The management of such herds is better than foregoing systems. Feeding is based on grown or purchased fodder. Home mixed concentrates consist of cotton seed cake, wheat bran, milling by products. Mineral mixes are also used by majority of the farmers. The buffaloes kept under this system produce from 2,000 to 2,500 liters of milk. The family labor is supplemented with hired labor. (2)
4. Peri-Urban/ large commercial & market oriented
Commercial-scale units in the peripheries of major urban centers. Milk sold twice/day to direct sale to retail shops or to intermediaries

These units also emerged as the demand of milk and by-products grew. Depending on the location in a small or big town the number of animals kept varies. Mostly 10 to 50 lactating buffaloes producing up to 2,500 liters of milk per animal form such herds. Since almost all of the milk produced is sold at a comparatively higher price, the farmers can afford better management of these animals. The stall feeding consists of green fodder, straw and home-mixed concentrates. Good quality concentrate feed is readily available. (2)

Progressive Farmers Production System
During the last two to three decades there was importation of pure bred cows as well as of semen from abroad. This triggered crossbreeding mostly using Sahiwal and Red Sindhi cows. The milk production was doubled. The unit size is 20 to 30 cows. The estimated number of these animals is over 2.0 million. These animals are raised under good management. The green fodder is supplemented with concentrates. Introduction of exotic blood has resulted in increased lactation yield (2,200 to 2,600 liters), improved age at first calving and better breeding efficiency.

Large scale dairy corporate farming
For the last 5 years, several corporate companies have established large scale dairy farms ranging from 1,000 to 5,000 milking cows. These corporate farms are established by Pakistani companies who are managing other business outside the agricultural sector.

These corporate farms are established with the technical support of foreign consultants and suppliers and are mostly “state of the art” farms with imported high genetic cattle and expatriate management.

5.2 Cattle and Buffalo Performance

The cattle population is slightly larger than that of buffaloes, whereas cows produce on average only 55% of the yield of buffaloes. (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of animal</th>
<th>% of cattle population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle cross-breeds</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure breeds</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-descript</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The productivity of cross-breeds is far higher than of pure-breed and non-descript, with longer lactating periods, higher milk production per lactation and shorter calving intervals. An average quality cross-bred animal costs almost 40% more than an average buffalo. (1)

National milk yields are only 1,195 Liters/lactation for cows and 1,800 liters for buffaloes, while Oxytocin injections are used to boost milk production (common among market-oriented farms in the cattle colonies). (1)

Low milk productivity per animal is generally attributed to:
- Low genetic potential
• Poor nutrition
• Inadequate health coverage

The difference between the milk production per animal of progressive and average farmers is 30-50%. (2) This yield gap can be filled in the short term by proper feeding and water supply, which will increase the production of existing animals by 30-35%. Similarly, proper disease control can improve production by 10-20%; thus through the adoption of short-term strategies for improved nutrition and disease control, productivity can be enhanced by approx 40 to 50%. (2)

A survey revealed that of the total herd, 55% animals were dry but were still being maintained. One of the reasons for maintaining dry and young stock was lack of capital to replace them with wet animals. The price of animals was also very high. (2)

For most of the year, the amount fed is barely sufficient to support maintenance requirements of a mature buffalo/cow. Lactating animals therefore, never attain the genetic potential for milk production.

Feeding of the non-lactating animals always gets a cursory attention. Consequently the age at first calving was reported at 60 months in buffalo. Under better management the same is around 48-52 months.

In a lifetime production cycle there will be fewer lactations and less production. The calving interval in well fed buffalo herds is 15-16 months. (2)

The information collected through meetings with the stakeholders indicated that yield levels varied from farm to farm. The majority farmers with average management were able to get yield at 6-8 liters per day. There were very few farmers who applied improved practices including feed, fodder, health cover, etc. who in turn were able to obtain good yields ranging from 12 to 16 liters.

According to the experts and information disclosed by Nestle and Dairy Pakistan, yield levels of 10-12 liters were attainable by improving management practices (fodder, feed, watering, health cover, etc).

Seasonal fluctuations
Production of milk falls to 55% of peak production at its lowest point in mid-June, while the demand increases 60% during this time compared to December when the milk supply is ample.

The huge difference between lean and flush seasons is a significant problem. During the lean season, when the availability of the milk is very limited, the price goes up. During lean periods there is insufficient milk on the market and some of the processors have to close down their factories.

Farmers have adjusted their calving patterns for the lowest cost production and hence concentrate calving for winter milking. Counter cyclically, dairy consumption increases during summer with higher consumption of yogurt, ice cream, and other refreshing dairy products.

The seasonal fluctuation in milk production occurs due to lower water and fodder availability, and importantly the predominance of buffaloes in the herd. A good combination of buffalo and cow in dairy herds can partially help in reducing seasonal supply-demand gaps.
5.3 Feed, Feeding and Water

Contributions of different feed sources for dairy animals is presented in the table underneath.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fodder and crop residues</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forage/grazing</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal by-products</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-harvest grazing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oilcakes, meals, animal protein</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data shows that about one-fourth of the cultivated area was under fodder in order to support feeding of animals. Fodder production is an important part of the crop rotation. The main winter fodders include *barseem*, brassica species, turnips, and oats. A few farmers grow Lucerne mostly for feeding to horses and maize is grown both in early winter and spring for grain and fodder. The summer fodders are sorghum, millets, maize, and Mott grass. Multi-cut sorghum was introduced in the past decade. A few farmers grow a mixture of sorghum, millet and leguminous moth varieties.

Sunflower leaves are mostly fed to small ruminants. Dried maize, sorghum and millets are staked for winter feeding. These are mixed with small quantities of *barseem*, mustard (*sarson*) or turnips. Sugarcane tops are also fed to large ruminants in winter. In spite of fairly large area (range 14-17%) under fodder, still seasonal shortages are observed for two periods during the year.

Overgrazing is a serious threat to the environment. Fodder crops cover 16-19% of the total cropped area. The fodder area is decreasing by 1.6% per year as cash crops such as wheat and sugar cane obtain higher prices and have more economic potential.

Green fodder shortages occur between May/June and December/January. The first period is early winter which starts from mid November and lasts until mid February. The second crunch period starts in May when *barseem* growth stops. This period will run till early July when summer fodder becomes available.

The reason for this gap is that farmers do not allocate separate area for fodder and wait till wheat harvest in April/May. The summer fodder thus grown will not be mature for feeding after *Barseem* growth is retarded/stopped in April/May. (2) Farmers also have limited access to better varieties, and cultural practices often are too inadequate to have a year around fodder supply.

Since livestock is an important source of farm income, farmers must adjust the periods of sowing, acquire and sow high yielding varieties and improve production practices. Furthermore, farmers should begin growing multi-cut fodder crops such as:

- Sorghum-Sudan grass hybrids
- Lucerne
- *Barseem*
- Mixtures of cereals en legumes
- Mott grass

There is also no awareness about conservation of the seasonal surpluses of fodder. Most of the stalks or hay is stored outside, apt to severe leaching by sun and rain.
Fodder crops need to be incorporated into the crop rotation, and land needs to be assigned to fodders rather than the existing practice of sowing fodder after wheat and cotton harvests. This practice delayed fodder maturity and in turn reduced availability of fodder to animals at critical periods of production (for instance, peak calving of buffaloes from July to mid September coincided with shortage of summer fodder).

There have been radical changes in the crop rotation during the last five years. Recent spot checks and appraisals indicated a drop in the fodder production area because most of it went for wheat production due to revised wheat rates. (2)

Feeding of the non-lactating animals always gets little attention. Consequently the age at first calving was reported at 60 months in buffalo. Under better management the same is around 48-52 months. In a lifetime production cycle there will be fewer lactations and less production. The calving interval in well fed buffalo herds is 15-16 months. In general the calving interval is reported to be around 23-27 months. The farmers have to bear the costs on feeding of these animals during unproductive phases too. This puts enormous pressure on the dwindling feed resources. (2)

Some studies and field data collection revealed that daily per head fodder consumption has been reported at 29.6 kg for wet and 15.1 kg for dry animals. Similarly, the consumption of roughages per animal has been reported at 3.8 kg for wet and 3.7 kg for dry animals. These are quite low and hence adversely impact animal milk production. The under feeding of dry animals further reduced their productivity in the subsequent years. The average lactation period for buffalo has been reported at 260 days and for cows (local breed) at 130 days. (2)

**Supplementary feeding (concentrated feed)**
In addition to forages, concentrates are fed to dairy cattle. This can be done as single grains (maize, wheat etc.) or as compounded feed i.e. concentrates produced by feed mills. There is a great variety of concentrates suitable for feeding to dairy cattle, ranging from the individual ingredients which can be used on the farm to mix rations, to the ready-mixed commercial compound feeds. Different concentrates contain different levels of major nutrients such as protein, energy and minerals.

In Pakistan, there are a large number of feed mills ranging from small scale rural area based to large scale industrialized factories. It is estimated that 90 to 95% of the concentrated feed produced by these large scale feed mills are for poultry feed. These feed mills are interested to produce more cattle feed, but the market is still too small and fragmented. Moreover feed mills have severe problems to obtain good quality of feed ingredients. The quality of ingredients over time has deteriorated immensely. Cotton seed cake which is one of the preferred and major feed ingredients is usually highly toxic stuff (aflatoxin). The same applies to wheat maize and other grains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aflatoxins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxins are naturally occurring mycotoxins that are produced by many species of Aspergillus, a fungus. Aflatoxins are toxic and carcinogenic to animals, including humans. After entering the body, aflatoxins are metabolized by the liver to an intermediate reactive, aflatoxin M₁, an epoxide. Crops which are frequently affected include cereals (maize, sorghum, pearl millet, rice, wheat), and oilseeds (peanut, soybean, sunflower, cotton).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The maximum tolerance level for aflatoxin for milking animals is 20 ppb. One of the leading feed mills provided information on the test results of ingredients that they like to use for animal concentrates i.e.:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cotton seed cake S1</td>
<td>35 to 90 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton seed cake S2</td>
<td>1,500 to 2,000 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn gluten</td>
<td>40 to 97 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>60 to 61 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize cake</td>
<td>79 ppb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is obvious that these test results in limits which are far above the tolerance levels and thus unfit for milk animals.

The information gathered from the farmers and stakeholders during field visits, exhibited that cost of concentrated feed (*wanda*) has tremendously increased and became almost unaffordable. On the other hand, its quality has also deteriorated. Barring a few exceptions, most of the concentrates available in different brands was adulterated and mixed with inferior and even toxic ingredients such as aflatoxin. The crude protein seldom exceeds 10%. Some reports indicate that high percentage of urea was incorporated in the compound feed to increase the protein contents by overlooking the harmful effects.

Farmers producing crops like maize, sorghum and millets can prepare cheap concentrates for dairy animals. Ground maize, millets and sorghum can be mixed with wheat bran/dry bread (roti) and left over wheat and other grains. If sugar-cane molasses (home produced) is mixed with into it, all the requirements of nutrients can be easily met.

Single grain feeding is still in practice and is a cheap and simple source of keeping livestock healthy and more productive. The information collected during the meetings and field visits showed that both homemade and commercially available concentrates were fed to the animals. The level of concentrates feeding is also low and thus a factor directly contributing towards low milk production.

In one of the read reports, the daily feeding was reported at 2.2 kg per wet animal (2) of which;
- 23.6% was home made
- 76.4% purchased from the market.

The Pakistan formulated feed industry is underdeveloped. Compared with an estimated annual demand of 40 million tonnes, only 0.20 million tonnes is produced (0.5%). This feed is unaffordable for smallholders and used by only market-oriented dairies. (1)

Another aspect that is underdeveloped in the animal nutrition and feeding sector is the availability of laboratories for forage and feed testing. Although most Universities have advanced testing equipment, these are hardly used for large scale testing for the private sector. It is estimated that around 7 commercial labs are able to test feed and forage. However the number of samples tested for dairy cattle feed and forages are very low. Furthermore, the availability of these testing labs is hardly known to the stakeholders in the dairy sector.

Most commercial feed mills have their own testing labs, used for testing the quality on the ingredients. These tests are expensive and time-consuming, resulting in having the test results only available after the ingredients have been unloaded and purchased. Near Infra Red (NIR) testing would overcome this time constraint.

*Water (a critical input for milk production) is scare. Pakistan’s per capita water availability has declined from 5,600 to 1,200 m³ per year over recent decades, and may fall to the water-deficit level of less than 1,000 m³/year. (1) This is also a threat to increased milk production.*
5.4 Breeding and Artificial Insemination (AI)

The central semen production of the Government is at the Farm Qadirabad. Selected bulls from all Governmental farms are sent to Qadirabad for semen production. There are about 130 buffalo bulls and 120 Sahiwal bulls at Qadirabad. The semen prices ex Qadirabad are Rs 50.-/straw for both breeds.

The Government has a semen production unit for Cholistani breed in the vicinity of Bahawalpur. Cattle records in both Government semen production units are insufficient and there are no progeny tested bulls. The issue is to improve local breeds and for this a herd book is required for all local breeds.

The private sector, ex-Governmental veterinarian and private farmers are extracting semen from all kinds of unproven bulls of the same breeds, with no records or progeny testing. They are undercutting the market prices, since they sell between Rs 15.- and 25.-/Dose. The PLDDB has a semen production unit in Renala with Canadian Friesian, using 97 bulls. This semen is sold at 80 to 100.- Rs/straw.

In the Punjab there are around 15 private semen production units (SPUs). Almost all private SPUs in Pakistan are running their operations without even the basic standards. They use a very poor selection of bulls, drawn mostly from animal markets with the poorest genetics. There is almost no lab equipment; semen straws are being filled by mouth; and bulls are not tested for disease because there are no rules and regulations by the Government.

In general, semen quality and semen handling is very poor. Buffalo semen is sometimes lacking in motility. Even in some cases cheating is done by refilling straws of imported semen from top Friesian bulls.

The total estimated semen output quantity by Government per year is around 2.5 Mio dose. The total estimated semen quantity, including imports by the private sector, is about 3.6 million doses/year.

High quality imported semen is available on the market at cost of Rs 200.- to 400.- per straw (some selected Friesian straws are available at up to 10 000.- Rs/dose). Sexed semen imported of European breeds is available at Rs. 4,000.- to 7,000.- per dose.

Currently new legislation concerning breeding is under discussion with the relevant Governmental organizations. In case the new breeding legislation would come into force, most private SPUs have to be closed. Al-Haiwan Sires seems to be the only semen production unit in Pakistan that is meeting the maximum standards, although even this SPU is short of adequate lab equipment.

In January 2012 a report on the Breed Improvement in Punjab was presented by Dr. Hans Gerhard Wagner as part of the Punjab Government Efficiency Improvement Project – a project of the Government of Punjab, managed by the Punjab Resource Management Programme (PRMP). A part of his executive Summary is provided in the Annex as it gives a clear insight into the current breed and genetic issues. Although the research was focused on the Punjab only, the findings are applicable for the whole country.

5.5 Animal Health

The rural areas of Pakistan are home to several livestock diseases of viral, bacterial, nutritional and parasitic origins such as:
- Bovine Tuberculosis
- Brucellosis
- Foot and Mouth Disease
- Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia
- Rinderpest
- Hemorrhagic septicaemia
- Red Water

Vaccination against *hemorrhagic septicaemia* and *foot and mouth diseases* is regularly done but still a large number of farmers have a limited access to vaccine. Both *ecto and endo parasites (ticks, mange mites, round & tape worms)* are commonly observed in livestock. Programs for eradication do cover a fraction of the livestock.

Livestock diseases are a major limiting factor in enhancing animal productivity. It is particularly true for a disease like Foot and Mouth Disease (F&MD) that adversely affects milk yield and body condition. In Pakistan, estimated losses due to this disease are about Rs. 8 billions/year. The FAO is currently implementing (with financial support from USAID) a project named “Progressive Control of Food and Mouth Disease in Pakistan.

Detailed information on the cattle diseases within Pakistan can be found at the website of the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) [www.oiw.int](http://www.oiw.int)

5.6 **Role of Women and Children (2)**

Except for the large scale corporate farms and at the cattle colonies, women and children play an active role in livestock production. Children help the elders in feeding, grazing crop residues and wallowing. Women carry out feeding, cleaning and milking. Men haul fodder and help in chopping.

The chores start with morning milking. Depending on the location and economic status, 25-50% of milking is carried out by women. Cleaning of sheds and animals, disposal of farm yard manure, feeding thrice a day, watering and washing are entirely performed by them. These activities take 3-5 hours a day.

Women are solely responsible for home made milk products such as yogurt, butter milk, butter and butter oil. Generally the women have a great attachment with the buffaloes due to economic reasons and raise them as a family member.

5.7 **Rural Income**

Little accurate information is available on rural and farmers’ income. The only report that has “field tested information is the report named *Value Chain Analysis of Livestock Sector (Dairy) in District Vehari, Punjab* from Inter-cooperation – August 2009. This report listed the following information:
The average annual income per household surveyed was Rs. 141,175 per annum. It was contributed by:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of income</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average per capita income was Rs.19,109 per annum compared to the national average of Rs.78,575 per capita per annum (Pakistan Economic Survey 2008-09).

The analysis of household consumers (120) survey indicated that average monthly per household income ranged between Rs.4,000 to Rs.65,000 depending upon the source. However, the majority fell in the income bracket of Rs.15,000-25,000.

The average household income was about Rs.20,000 per month. The survey further revealed that milk consumption was directly related to the level of income, i.e. the higher the income, the more milk consumption and vice versa. The price of milk paid varied from Rs.25 to Rs.35 per liter.

Studies have shown that small farmers who combine livestock with crop production have an income as high as those with only crops.

### 6 Milk

#### 6.1 Milk Composition and Quality

The perishable nature of milk, the long distance between production and consumption sites, inefficient marketing structure and the number of intermediaries in hauling milk through the marketing chain are factors that lead to adulteration and contamination of milk. Milk cooling tanks are only found at the milk collection centers established by the milk processing industry and at large scale farms.

The type and extent of adulteration varies from source to source. Apart from contamination associated with poor practices, malpractices involving use of adulterants is common. The information collected from different sources revealed that there are over two dozen adulterants being used in the milk industry.

In terms of adulterations, following (amongst other) products are added to raw milk:
- Adding water to increase volume
- Adding ice to prevent heat spoilage. Generally 1 kg ice/10 kg milk (made from dirty water)
- Penicillin to prevent spoilage
- Washing powder – to enhance volume and whiteness
- Maize flour – to enhance volume and whiteness
- Singhare flour
- Guar meal
- Chalk
- Urea
- Sodium Bicarbonate
- Formaline
- Hydrogen peroxide

The raw milk quality is not good, and that makes the shelf life of the packed milk very limited. There is a general understanding that real pure milk is hardly available to urban consumers.

In the absence of appropriate legislation there is hardly any check on the use of adulterants. The 1965 Pure Food Rules, which were conferred by the Pure Food Ordinance in 1960, superseded all earlier pure food regulations in the country. These rules specify that cow and buffalo milk is not to contain less than 3.5 and 5.0 % fat (BF) and 8.5 and 9.0% solid not fat (SNF), respectively. Standardized milk is to contain 3.5% BF and 8.9% SNF (2). However, the enforcement of these standards is weak.

Preserving the raw milk quality at farm is critical. The unhygienic practices during milking and on farm handling are serious issues. There is need for hygienic milking, cooling and hot boiling at a temperature sufficiently low to check multiplication of bacteria.

In order to keep milk temporarily “fresh”, middlemen commonly add ice to the milk, which results in dilution of milk solids by up to 30%.

In addition, microbiological contamination due to ice being made from poor quality water is frequent. Compounding the problem, middlemen may attempt to counter the dilution by adding vegetable oil, whey powder and other ingredients to improve the solid content of the milk.

Antibiotics and peroxide are also often used as preservatives. Processors report that it is a constant battle against the innovation demonstrated by adulterators in terms of the adulterants added to milk.

Regulation may help to control the adulteration but the root cause of such adulteration is the lack of developed milk collection/logistical systems. Only a small percentage of the milk is properly collected.

In order to avoid contaminated milk, Nestle is conducting a total of 41 tests (divided at various stages in the collection chain) before the milk is processed. It is obvious that these costs are adding to the cost price of raw milk.

### 6.2 Milk Collection

According to an industry estimate, approximately 60% of the milk produced by smallholders is consumed at home and the remaining 40% is marketed. (1)

Milk collection agents known as dodhis are the most important milk procurers and provide most farmers with their main link to the market.

**Dodhis** are well established middlemen in the milk supply chain. Dodhis collect milk at the competitive prices with other market players. They have more social and family links with the milk farmers and enjoy more trust and family bonds with them.
They collect milk in small quantities from farmers at their doorstep and supply to urban consumers at their doorstep. Besides supplying direct to consumers, the excess milk is sold to other market players including shop-keepers, khoya makers, confectioners/bakers, mini milk collectors or milk collection centers of processing plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of operation</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type of operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>katcha dodhis</td>
<td>door-to-door collection up to 100 liters/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>pucca dodhis</td>
<td>purchase from katcha dodhis ranging from 400 to 800 liters/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>contractors</td>
<td>purchase from pucca dodhis collecting from 1,500 to 2,600 l/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The gross margin varies from Rs.15 to 30/liter (November 2012). Marketing costs mainly involved labor and transportation charges. They mainly use bicycle/motorcycle for transportation. The volume of their business ranges from 200-400 liters per day per dodhi. The collection area stretches up to 20 km radius from the town.

In various other reports it has been mentioned that most dodhis are skimming milk from one-third to one-half of their daily collection in order to maximize their profits. The skimmed (separate) milk is used for yogurt. They also disclose that whole milk was not liked by the consumers due to the high fat percentage. The de-creaming also reduced the element of adulteration by adding water into the milk. The addition of ice in summer is unavoidable; otherwise, the chances of spoilage are certain. Dodhis also use the tactics of over weighing when buying and under weighing when selling milk.

Purchase prices of dodhis varied from Rs.35 to Rs.40 per liter (November 2012). During the summer period the prices are Rs 5/liter higher. The consumer sale price for “fresh milk” was reported at Rs.50 to Rs.70 per liter. The purchase price of milk was linked with distance from the town. Milk was cheaper in distantly located villages. However, it involved an additional cost, especially for transportation.

The margin for the dodhis is increased by adding water, using incorrect measurements, and de-creaming the milk. Dodhis purchase milk on the basis of quantity rather than quality. Farmers are often paid monthly, under post-sales contracts between farmers and dodhis. As dodhis are major sources of loans, advance annual payments are made to farmers, based on a flat daily rate price. In summer, when retail prices in milk increase, the marginal price premiums are not passed on to the farmers.

Absence of cold chain and cooling tanks (chillers) in the informal system have also resulted in the mixing of chemicals (unhygienic) for milk preservation, particularly when transported for long distances.

The milk processors have introduced an organized system of milk collection. They have introduced chillers and refrigerated carriers. These initiatives have been supplemented by Dairy Pakistan under the Ministry of Production, Industries and Special Initiatives, and the Livestock and Dairy Development Board under the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock.

Milk processors, especially Nestle, Engro Foods and Halla, have set up collection centres in milk production areas where they have created basic infrastructure in the form of chillers. The milk collected at these chillers (Nestle calls them Village Milk Collection Centres) is transported to sub-centres and processing plants in refrigerated carriers. This has provided competition to the traditional milk collection system dominated by dodhis and has enabled rural farmers to obtain better prices.
The milk is collected from the farmers directly through a network of village milk collection centres (VMCCs) and sub-centres without cooling facilities, i.e. VMC (Village Milk Centre). The VMCCs are established and owned by the dairy company.

The VMCC agent earns his margin from the difference between the purchase price and the sale price. He also gets additional incentives by meeting the quality and quantity targets.

Milk is supplied by different farmers and the VMCC in charge/agent is responsible to test and purchase milk according to the quality specifications prescribed by the company. Milk from the VMCC is transported by the company to the plant directly for processing.

Farmers who supply more than 40 litres of milk per day are classified as Commercial Farmers (CF). Nestle provides technical assistance to commercial farmers to adopt best practices which ultimately results into improved milk production, quality and hygiene. The same classification system is generally followed by Haleeb and Engro for farmers under the direct collection system. CFs supply milk to the VMCC; they also receive assistance in order to collect their milk directly from farms and receive partial advance payments.

Nestle is using cooling tanks to collect milk from Punjab and Sindh. Nestle has currently about 3,300 milk cooling tanks (ranging from 500 to 1,800 litre capacity) and 550 VMCs. The cooling tanks are equipped with a generator to ensure a continuous supply of electricity. It is estimated that over 2.2 billion Rs. (22 million USD) has been invested in these milk collection facilities.

Engro Foods is collecting milk from Punjab and Sindh with a network of 1,300 farm cooling tanks and 1,500 VMCs. Milk quality control and farmers’ support services are provided by a team of 34 Agri service officers, 22 area managers and 8 managers. In addition 180 technical staff on motorbikes are visiting farmers regularly. It is obvious that these efforts are adding to the cost of raw milk procured and thus are reflected in the consumer price.

Under the indirect milk collection system as implemented by some other dairies, a third party is involved to collect and supply milk to the company. Main players involved in the indirect milk collection are dodhis and mini suppliers.

Dodhis supply milk at VMCCs and VMCs and get the base price. The mini suppliers collect milk at their own collection points and the company vehicle collects milk from their collection point (house) after testing. Mostly mini suppliers have only milk tanks without any chillers and use ice for cooling it. They are given a price margin above the prices being offered at the VMCCs of the dairy company in that locality.

Milk prices are based on the contractual arrangements with some individuals who collect milk through their own efforts (i.e. purchased from farmers, dodhis, mini suppliers etc) and supply milk to the industry (at its processing plant using their own transportation). They get higher prices (Rs.1-2.5/Litre) than prices paid to the farmers, along with an allowance for transportation. Some contractors are also given milk tanks, chillers, or other equipment and partial advance payments.

A variety of modes of transportation are used for milk collection by the farmers in rural areas to its haulage to processing plants and shop keepers and consumers in urban centres. Bicycles, motorbikes, three wheelers, and animal carts are used for collection and haulage of milk in small volumes ranging from 200 litres to 500 litres. Comparatively bigger volumes are transported in Suzuki pick-ups or Hi-Lux type of pick-ups. The bus roof tops are also used for haulage of milk from rural to urban centres. The risk of milk spoilage during transportation is higher in summer than in winter.
Farmers selling high-quality milk do not receive any incentives.

See Annex 2 for a schematic breakdown on the milk collection chain and margins made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of operation</th>
<th>% of national production</th>
<th>Milk sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Rural farming     | 80                       | 60% consumed by the farmers  
|                   |                          | 40% marketed by katcha & pucca dodhis  
|                   |                          | The dodhis are selling their milk  
|                   |                          | 85% to contractors  
|                   |                          | 10% to collection/procurement agents of processing plants  
|                   |                          | 5% to bakers and confectioners  
|                   |                          | Contractors sell 90% of the milk to retail shops  
|                   |                          | and the remaining to processing plants, bakers and confectioners |
| Peri-urban farming| 15                       | 80% sold directly to consumers  
|                   |                          | 15% sold to retail shops  
|                   |                          | 5% to dodhis  
| Urban farming     | 5                        | 85% direct to urban consumers  
|                   |                          | 15% sold to retail shops |

6.3 Milk Processing

There are 24 units processing fresh as well as dry milk in the private and corporate sectors. The total estimated installed processing capacity is 2.42 billion litre/year. However the industry is running at 50% capacity when averaged for both flush (70-80%) and lean (30-40%) periods.

About 1 billion litres of fresh milk of buffalo and cow and 12.5 million kg of dry milk are processed each year. (2)

The formal milk marketing chains comprise the large-scale corporations in producing UHT processed milk. At least 11 large scale dairy processing plants operate in Pakistan. The large players are:

- Nestle  
  Mainly collection from farmers
- Engro Foods  
  60% milk collected from farmers and 40% through intermediaries
- Chaudry Dairies  
  Mainly collection from collectors
- Nirala
- Halla
- NoonMilac
- Dairy Bell
• Dairy Crest
• PremierHaleeb
• Prime
• K&K
• Pak Army

The formal dairy processing sector is the driving force behind the establishment of cold chains.

There is a distinct consumer preference for buffalo milk because of the high fat content (6 – 8 %). This is also very attractive for the dairy processors who offer milk with 3.5% fat content.

In the last two years, pasteurized milk has been sold on the market, and seems to be more popular as demand is now greater than supply. Pasteurized milk is either sold in cartons, plastic pouches or hard plastic bottles. Sale prices range from Rs 59 (Pouch pack 3.6% fat content in Lahore) to Rs 95 litre(Metro, Islamabad). Milk in cartons/hard plastic bottles is sold at Rs 90 to 95/litre. The main brands are Preme and Anhaan.

6.4 Marketing Margins (2)

Demand and supply are the main factors for determining/establishing the purchase price of milk in different milk producing areas.

At the farmer level, the distance from the urban areas along with the infrastructure affects the price of milk. The presence of VMCCs also affects the milk price due to higher milk demand and competition between the processing and wet market. However the price offered by the wet market is the major force behind the milk price offered at VMCCs. The price of powder milk in the international market also plays its role in establishing the purchase price of milk by the industry.

The prices offered by different dairy industries remain almost the same within the same area. However, prices vary from area to area. Under the direct collection system, the price at the VMCCs remained in the range of Rs. 35 to 40/litre for 14 TS or 6% fat content. The prices for contractors and mini suppliers involve additional incentives for services and transportation charges paid. The contractors and mini suppliers are getting Rs.1.0 to 2.0/litre higher than the prices offered to farmers.

The difference in purchase price and sale price of UHT milk ranged from Rs.35 to 40 per litre. Past studies also revealed that margins in the formal sector in the UHT milk chain amounted to Rs.15 to 29 per litre for fresh milk with 6% fat content.

Though farmer’s share in consumer rupees for processed milk was lower, the survey revealed that the actual price received by the farmer was higher for sales to processing plants than to dodhis.

While farmers expressed some reservations about the quality based pricing by processors (fat content, SNF, etc.), they were still satisfied due to a much better collection system through VMCCs and regular purchase orders.

The semi-commercial dairy farmers who had set up their own retail outlets were much better off since they obtained higher prices. Their sale price for direct to-consumer ranged from Rs. 66 (sold to milk shops) to Rs. 85 (packed in plastic pouch pack) per litre for buffalo milk.
The other marketing agents included de-creamers and khoya makers. Their margin varied from Rs.10 to Rs.20 per kg. Apart from yogurt, which of course was a major selling milk product in urban areas, in rural areas farmers mostly relied on desi ghee which has a good shelf life and storability.

The information collected from the farmers indicated that the sale of fresh milk would be their first priority. The desi ghee has a diminishing market. The other milk products like cream and khoya making in the traditional system is highly disorganized and unhygienic and thus there is lot of scope for improvement.

There is a need for preparing high value added products like mozzarella cheese which is used in pizza making. With the increasing popularity of pizza, an expanding market for mozzarella cheese is expected. However, this would certainly require capacity building of the farmers, technology and market linkages.

### 7 Inputs and Support Services

Although the private sector has started to invest in providing inputs such as concentrated feed, forage production and handling, veterinary support, and AI, the coverage of these services is often limited to peri-urban, market-oriented farmers. This low coverage is due to fragmented rural production systems, low levels of education among rural farmers and costs that are too high for many smallholders.

Services are provided by dairy plants such as Nestle, Engro Foods and Chaudhry Dairies and commercial companies such as Unitech (cold chain equipment); SolveAgri Pak (feed, forage harvesting and handling equipment, training); Altaf&co (bull semen, forage harvesting & handling equipment, training); Maxim International (feed, bull semen); Profarm (feed, bull semen, milking equipment); Hi-tech (feed); ICI Pakistan (feed); Dairy care; FOSS/PakFil Technologies (testing equipment); ABC Agri (Hay and silage company); and Veterinary drugs (Ghazi Brothers).

### 8 Financial Services – Financing

Lack of physical assets serve as collateral restrictions on farmers’ access to formal credit at all levels. (1)

The State Bank of Pakistan has issued guidelines for livestock financing to facilitate commercial banks and financial institutions in extending credit to the livestock sector. Microcredit schemes for small livestock farmers through commercial banks have been started. Under the White Revolution Scheme, two Strategic Partnership Agreements have been signed and are being implemented between the Zarai Taraqiati Bank Limited (ZTBL) and Nestle Pakistan Limited and the Pakistan Dairy Development Company. (2)

The support to the dairy sector under these strategic partnership agreements envisages improvement and modernization of the dairy sector with a view to increasing milk supply and improving milk collection. This support should lead to better economic returns to dairy farmers, in turn alleviating poverty and improving the living standards of the rural population. The bank has earmarked Rs.5 billion for the financing of 50,000 animals (buffaloes and imported cows) during a five year period (2007-2011). Nestle Pakistan identifies good clients for the bank to improve the breed quality of foreign and local dairy
animals, who then sell their milk to Nestle. Technical guidance is provided to the farmers through Nestle veterinarians. The company would purchase milk through its network and make weekly payments to the bank for the repayment of the farmers’ loans. (2)

Under the given circumstances, Zarai Taraqiatee Bank Limited (ZTBL) was considered a comparatively better option due to comparatively lower rates of mark up (9%) which was further reduced to 8% in the case of those farmers who repaid as per schedule and did not default. However, the maximum limit of Rs.40,000/- was only sufficient for production loans and hardly supported any plans for purchase of milking animals.

9 Farmers’ Organizations/Cooperatives

Farmers are not organized, and there is no organized production and marketing in the agricultural economy. This leaves farmers with little bargaining power.

Currently the farming communities are not organized in groups. Consequently they had no power as bargaining agents for their produce. Milk production and collection, which is comparatively more organized as compared to other livestock products, have no milk producers’ association. Some of the milk collectors have started organizing farmers in groups in order to collect more volume at one collection point.

10 Policy / Food Safety Legislation and Regulation

For the first time in the country’s history, the government formulated a Livestock Policy in 2007. The following Pakistani Governmental organizations are engaged in the agricultural sector:

1. Ministry of Livestock and Dairy Development
2. Provincial departments of livestock and dairy development
3. Ministry of Industries, Production and Special Initiatives
   - Agribusiness Development and Diversification project -2005 (ADDP) (2)
   - Livestock and Dairy Development Board (LDDB) (2)
   - Pakistan Dairy Development Company -2005 (also known as Dairy Pakistan) (PDDC)
   - Small and Medium Enterprise Development Authority (SMEDA)
4. Universities
5. Pakistan Standards and Quality Control Authority (PSQCA)

The Government provides support services, such as veterinary care and extension. However the government’s financial and human capacity is weak and cannot cover the larger fragmented farm base or widely spread marketing chain. (1)

Growth targets for milk, meat and meat production, and livestock productivity have been aligned in the Medium Term Development Framework (MTDF), which aims at an annual growth rate of 6-8 %. (2)
Important legislation for the dairy sector are:
  - The Pure Food Ordinance – 1960
  - The Pakistan Hotels and Restaurant Act – 1976
  - The Pakistan Standards and Quality Control Authority – 1996

Enforcement of laws is very poor. The Health Department and local governments are both responsible for implementing food laws. (1)

11 Development Programs

Pakistan is flooded by many agricultural development projects financed by international organizations such as the World Bank, FAO, Asian Development Bank, the governments of other countries such as USA, Japan, Australia and The Netherlands, and numerous private organizations and foundations. Some of these projects are listed below.

- Strengthening dairy value chains in Pakistan through improved farm management and more effective extension service CIDA/ AusAid – Australian Agency for International Development
- Agriculture Sector Linkage Program (ASLP) by the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) (8)
- Smallholder Dairy Development project – establishment cold chain systems - USAID and Department for International Development (DFID)
- EU/Pakistan Livestock Services Project (SLSP) to support and improve livestock production & veterinary services through improvement in nutrition, extension/ on-farm advisory services, veterinary hospitals & analytical laboratories (2003-2009) - European Union (EU)
- Government of Pakistan (2008) (1)
  - Regulatory measures for imports of high-yielding animals, semen and embryos for cross breeding
  - Duty-free imports of veterinary products, dairy equipment and machinery (not manufactured locally)
  - Exemption from retail sales tax for processed products
- Others (1)
  - Celdac project trained 3,000 local extension workers in 1,450 villages – Punjab

It seems that there is little coordination between the main donors in terms of exchanging experiences, lessons learnt, assessment of needs and planning of new interventions. ASF could play an initiating role in establishing an informal exchange of information between the donors.

12 Recommendations and Interventions

On the next pages, interventions are proposed which are the result of personal observations and outcome of the workshops held (Nov 2012) with stakeholders in the dairy value chain.

During the second visit of the Dairy Value Chain consultant (Jan/Feb 2013), the proposed interventions were presented and discussed in four (4) focus group meetings in which subject matter experts and stakeholders participated. The discussions in the focus groups were extremely useful as this provided the
“blessing” of the proposed interventions as well practical advice on the structure, content and “pitfalls” of these interventions.

The formulated interventions can be grouped in seven major topics i.e. in random order:

1. Awareness, information and dissemination
2. Fodder and feed resources
3. Animal genetics
4. Vocational training
5. Manure utilization
6. Milk handling and processing
7. Institutional

It is obvious that the above listed interventions are only a limited attempted towards strengthening the Dairy Value chain. The proposed interventions should comprise a mix of technical assistance; training and financial support which should lead to a more effective dairy sector and thus decrease in cost and losses and thus an improved financial income in the dairy sector.

Unfortunately it has been concluded that a major stagnating factor in the development is the lack of adequate legislation as well as the enforcement of legislation. This factor is difficult to address for the private commercial sector and non-organized farmer communities.

Finally, during the visits, several other interventions were identified which are related to legislative, organization and institutional issues. As ASF was/is aiming at Agribusiness, the consultant focused the intervention at the private dairy sector and not directly at the governmental and educational sector as well as rural development and poverty reduction.

The basic principles for the introduction and application of the proposed interventions should be:

1. A private initiative
2. A feasible investment
3. A demand driven request
4. Monitoring of implementation and results

### 12.1 Ad Intervention 1 – Awareness, Information and Dissemination (Milk Quality and Technical Issues)

Constraints:

1. Consumers are not aware of the quality parameters of (food safe) fresh milk
2. Farmers and investors are lacking practical and unbiased information on existing and new technologies
3. Farmers are unaware about quality issue’s in regard to bull semen
4. Farmers are unaware about making silage and hay to preserve forage

Activity 1.1. Regional information campaign in the urban areas, large villages and cities
The perishable nature of milk and the long distance between production and consumption have led to adulteration and contamination of milk. Moreover, the fresh milk supply chain involves many middlemen. To increase profit and to prevent spoilage, milk is adulterated with many non-dairy products and chemicals.
Consumers are not aware what fresh milk quality parameters are. Fat content is the only parameter that they know of and are informed about. Furthermore, price is misleading in buying the products. Consumers are not aware that the milk is adulterated with a wide range of liquids and chemical agents which are hazardous for human health.

As stated in the constraints, some of these adulterations are done to preserve the milk but also to increase profit by the middle man. These adulterations are hazardous to humans and thus this milk should not be consumed. The current governmental price cap on milk has also lead water is added to delete the milk. Obvious this water is not food safe and contaminated.

A regional information campaign in the urban areas (in cooperation with the milk processing plants and corporate farms) should inform the consumers about the key parameters on milk quality issues. Furthermore information should be provided on the nutrition value of milk in relation with price as well as the health risk by chemical adulterations.

It is anticipated that this information campaign will lead to more demand for higher quality milk (most likely against a higher price) and should develop a market segment for dodhis to penetrate into.

**Activity 1.2. – Introduction of household testing kits on adulterations**

In other Asian countries, milk adulteration is also very common and simple household testing kids have been developed. These kits are able to detect up to 10 different adulterations such as urea, starch, formalin and hydrogen peroxide. Cost of these test are ranging from Rs 120 to 2,400 per 100 tests. These kids should be introduced in combination with activity 1.1.

**Activity 1.3. – Information and documentation centre**

In general the commercial oriented sector is lacking unbiased technical information in order to make investment and improvements at their farm, milk processing and feed milling. Furthermore, practical benchmarks are not available on any technical subject as well as references and success stories in Pakistan. Last but not least, the info & documentation centre can promote the results obtained through the many development and pilot project implemented in Pakistan. Below some specific topics are mentioned, i.e.:

- **Promotion of first quality semen**
  Leading international AI companies are represented in Pakistan but due the low price of local produced semen (subsidy), small scale farmers are not using first class (imported) semen.

- **Promotion on silage and hay making to preserve forage**
  Awareness of good hay and silage making (proper cutting and storage).

- **Promotion of good youngstock care and handling**
  Promotion of youngstock rearing in order to decrease the investment cost for purchase of imported pregnant heifer, promotion as youngstock rearing as a business model and promotion of calf milk replacer as alternative for feeding raw milk.

**Product**

- Inventory with the dairy industry and the corporate farms to assess if are interested to have such a promotion campaign. ASF could coordinate and sponsor the campaign
- Inventory with consumers if they are interested to have these testing kits. ASF could approach potential suppliers and promote the use (in cooperation with the dairy industry and Consumer rights committee in Pakistan)
Technical factsheets with key parameters, Reference lists, listing of training centers

Cost
- Contribution from the dairy industry and corporate farms based on 0.1% of the value in raw milk intake/production (approx 1 billion liter) would give 3.5 million Rs
- Testing kits on adulterants. Price depends on number of adulterants to be tested for and ranges from Rs 400 to 4,500/100 tests.
12.2 Ad Intervention 2 – Fodder and Feed Resources

Constraints:
1. Seasonal availability of forage for dairy animals
2. Lack of forage has a negative impact on productivity, fertility and youngstock growth and first age of calving
3. Hay and silage making is widely known as a viable business model
4. Little use of compounded feed in the feeding ratios of milking animals
5. Large scale feed mills are mainly producing feed for the poultry industry
6. Commercial feed mills are not producing animal feed in accordance to international feed standards
7. Lack of alternative concentrates component sources as well as quality and food safety issues (aflatoxin) at existing component sources
8. Testing of forage, feed and ingredients (raw material) for concentrates in time consuming and costly

Activity 2.1. – Provision of equipment for hay and silage making
Equipment for hay and silage making are simple and worldwide available (mower, rake, bale press). ASF should promote investment in this business by providing bench marks and listing suppliers. Investment support is required by providing grants.

Activity 2.3. - Introduction of GMP+ standards at the commercial feed mills
GMP+ International is an independent organization managing the GMP+ Feed Certification scheme, which consists of two modules, GMP+ Feed Safety Assurance and GMP+ Feed Responsibility Assurance, and is intended for the certification of feed companies active in the feed chain around the world.

The GMP+ FSA module has been developed to guarantee feed safety and to reassure consumers about the way animal feed products are produced, processed, traded, stored and transported. Requirements for feed safety assurance are laid down in the GMP+ standards, which are based on legislation and additional requirements from stakeholders in the market.

To secure high quality feed, GMP+ should be introduced at all feed mills. GMP+ is already introduced at all major sugar mills as they expert molasses which needs to be GMP+ certified.

Activity 2.4. – Development of alternative concentrates component sources
Currently the major ingredients for ruminant concentrates are based on a limited number of communities such as maize, sorghum, wheat and millet. These products are commodities and the prices are subject to international trade and developments. The prices of these products are increasing each year (25% in the last 12 months) and thus having a great impact on the prices of cattle feed in Pakistan. Within Pakistan many agro-industrial byproducts are available and technical support is required to valorize these by-products for animal nutrition.

Activity 2.2. - Establishment of a village based small scale grinding and mixing units
Despite the presence of feed mills, concentrated cattle feed is hardly used and available. This is due to the fact that most feed mills are mainly producing poultry feed and the fact that the distance from the feed mill to the rural farms is huge and thus transportation cost are an important factor in the cost price of concentrates. Introduction of simple and small scale mixing and grinding mills (1 to 2 tons/hour) in the rural areas could increase the use of concentrated feed on the one hand and reduction of costs on the other hand.
Activity 2.4. - Introduction of NIR (Near Infrared) feeding forage analysis

There are two ways that forages are analyzed for nutrient content. Wet chemistry uses established laboratory tests to quantify protein, fiber, fat, and minerals. More recently, near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIR) has been perfected to quickly, economically, and accurately measure nutrient content without destroying the sample. Also, NIR technology uses light reflectance and works best with large compounds such as those that compose protein and fiber. The minerals are smaller and, therefore, more difficult to measure with the NIR, and wet chemistry should be used if precise levels are needed. The NIR instrument must be calibrated to wet chemistry which is the standard.

NIR testing is common in countries with developed agriculture and provides real-time, immediate feed test results within 60 seconds whereas the wet testing method might take up to 36 hours.

Product
- TA in development of promotion material on hay and silage cutting and storage including cost and benefit ratio’s
- TA in field demonstration on hay and silage making
- TA in the promotion of hay and silage making as business model
- Grants for equipment investments packages
- Grant for establishment of small scale grinding & mixing unit
- Grant for introduction of GMP+ at commercial feed mills
- Grant for introduction of Near Infrared (NIR) feed and forage analysis

Cost
- TA on hay and silage making

- Grants for hay and silage equipment investments packages
  - investment range from $ 10,000 to $ 100,000/unit

- Grant for establishment of small scale grinding & mixing unit
  - investment range from $ 10,000 to $ 20,000/unit

- Grant for introduction of GMP+ at commercial feed mills
  - investment range from $ 5,000 to $ 15,000/unit

- Grant for introduction of Near Infrared (NIR) feed and forage analysis
  - investment range from $ 60,000 to $ 100,000/unit
12.3 Ad Intervention 3 - Animal Genetics

Constraints:
1. Current bull semen production units in Pakistan (cows and buffaloes) are not producing semen from tested and proven bulls. Genetic potential of those bulls are therefore not known
2. Local bull semen is very low in quality resulting in a very low insemination rate
3. SPU’s are lacking adequate- or are using outdated equipment
4. Cheating of imported semen by refilling straws or adulteration is very common and thus damaging effectiveness of the semen and the image of imported semen
5. In general, most AI technicians have a poor performance due to lack of skills and practical training, whereas accreditation of skilled technicians is missing
6. To cover the growing gap in milk consumption and production, next to increased milk production/animal also more animals are required in Pakistan. Importation of pregnant heifers is NOT covering the needs of more animals
7. Youngstock rearing is seen as an cost activity and not seen as rearing future milk production animals
8. Youngstock are not housed and fed in correct matter resulting in a late age of first calving and thus cost of rearing is too high

Activity 3.1. - Assist in development of a herd book organization
Genetic improvement of cattle and buffalo is only possible if a pedigree and performance recording system i.e. herd book is in place. A herd book is the basis for allowing the genetic analysis of the data to identify (with high accuracy and reliability) the genetically superior females that will serve as bull mothers and to conduct progeny testing. High accuracy and reliability are important because these sires will be multiplied via artificial insemination through thousands of doses of semen. The present selection criteria of dams based on their milk yield is not considered appropriate and therefore the genetic progress achieved is around zero.

Currently, bulls with a high breeding value are neither identified nor used for large scale semen production and therefore high valued genetics wasted.

Development and establishment of a herd book is the foundation of introduction of a pedigree and performance recording system in order to map the genetic potential for bull mothers. This herd book should be initiated and owned by the existing cattle breeders’ organizations with support of the private SPU’s

Next to the establishment of the herd, performance recording has to be introduced and established. Subsequently, a genetic testing analysis has to be introduced, either by progeny or genomic testing.

Activity 3.2. – Upgrading technical equipment at SPU’s
Investment in equipment for semen handling, quality control, straw filling and storage is required at almost all commercial SPU’s. Modern equipment will preserve semen quality and will decrease production cost.

Activity 3.3. – Training and certification of inseminator
Currently the skills of a major part of the inseminator is very poor and thus adding to the very low conception rate i.e. insemination results. To improve the skills of these inseminators it is needed that these are trained (refreshment courses) at companies and governmental organisations which provide practical training at a certain quality level (see also activity 7 Seal of excellence). Furthermore, the herd book should certify inseminators that have sufficient skills so that the farmers can indentify good inseminators.
Activity 3.4. Information dissemination on bulls for sale from imported “pedigree” cows inseminated with proven bulls

Imported cattle do have a “cattle passport” containing information of its performance and genetic traits. Although most imported cattle are inseminated with sexed semen, still 3 to 5% bull calves are produced. This also applies to the imported cattle in their 2nd and onwards lactations. In most cases these bull calves are culled and thus good genetic potential is wasted.

The establishment of a website on which owners can display these young bulls, might be interested for other farmer who need a bull.

Activity 3.5. - Youngstock rearing

Except at the emerging corporate farms youngstock care is poor or nonexistent. Poor youngstock care has direct effect on the productivity of that animal at mature age. Furthermore, poor care leads to increased cost in feeding due to later maturity of the animal, more animal health problems and lower conception rate at AI.

Young stocks form the foundation of replacement stock, can be used to expand dairy stock and/or can be sold to generate income for the farmer. Moreover, raising youngstock could be less costly than importing pregnant heifers whereas local raised cows are more receptive to the local conditions (feeding and heat) resulting in less mortality.

Product

3.1. Improved SPU’s
   - Grant for investment in equipment

3.2. Establishment of a herdbook
   - TA for Establishment of a herdbook for local cattle breeds in order to get to proven and tested bulls in the local bull semen production units
   - Promotion of first quality semen

3.3. Increased skills of inseminators
   TA in Training and certification of inseminator

3.4. Commercial youngstock rearing farms
   - TA on good youngstock care and handling in order to decrease the investment cost for purchase of imported pregnant heifers
   - Promotion of calf milk replacer as alternative for feeding raw milk
   - Grant for investment in youngstock rearing as a business model

3.5 Dissemination on bulls for sale from imported “pedigree” cows inseminated with proven bulls
   - Website for bulls on sale

Cost

- TA for determination of equipment needs for commercial SPU’s

- Grants for investment in equipment for commercial SPU’s
  - investment range from $ 50,000 to $ 75,000/unit

- TA in the establishment of a herdbook for local breeds
• Grant for cattle registration system

• Grant for software of a herdbook system

• Grant for progeny/genomic testing

• Grant for practical training on inseminators
  - investment range from $1,000 to $2,000/trainee

• Grant for establishment of an inseminator certification system
  - investment range from $10,000 to $20,000

• TA on youngstock rearing

• Grant for investment in youngstock farms
  - investment range from $10,000 to $20,000/unit
12.4 Ad Intervention 4 – Vocational Training

Constraints:
1. Management and workers in the emerging commercial dairy farming sector are lacking practical experience and expertise
2. Practical vocational training is not known and widely available whereas there is an quality variation in vocational training programs (not practical, not hands-on training, commercial and sales oriented)
3. Vocational training is time consuming and costly

Activity 4.1. – Promotion of vocational training
- Inventory of existing vocational training programs (Universities and private companies)
- Quality assessment of the existing vocational training programs
- Adjustment (enlargement) of existing vocational training programs i.e. Curricula and facilities
- Promotion of existing vocational training

Product
- Survey on existing vocational training programs and facilities in order to assess Curricula, trainers, training facilities, cost and effectiveness
- Upgrading and development of additional needed vocational training programs (Curricula, train the trainers, facilities)
- Promotion of those vocational training organization who are meeting the required quality standards
- Grant for participants attending ASF qualified vocational training programs

Cost
- TA for curricula assessment and improvement
- Scholarship for participants
  - *Grant range from $ 1,000 to $ 5,000/trainee*
12.5 **Ad Intervention 5 - Manure utilization**

Constraints:
1. Lack of electricity in the rural area’s in terms of volume and time
2. Huge environmental pollution in the Karachi area by manure handling

**Activity 5.1. - Establishment of on-farm biogas units**
Manure can be an alternative energy source for livestock farmers. An anaerobic digester will partially convert manure to energy in the form of biogas which contains methane. The composition of manure varies according to feed rations and different farm management practices. The amount of manure that can be collected will also vary. This depends on the type, weight, and number of animals, the feed ration, and the degree of confinement. For example, if all the manure could be collected from a 680-kg dairy cow, a farmer could collect about 57 kg daily.

The slurry remaining from the biogas production can be used as fertilizer for the land.

A 100 cow/buffalo farm will produce approx. 60m3 gas/day with is equal to 30 l/diesel oil (valued at Rs 110/liter) or 11.66 KW/h (valued at Rs 107/Kw/h). Investment for such a unit ranges from Rs. 700,000 to 1,000,000.
Activity 5.2. - Establishment of (solar) powered manure separation units

Separation of manure could be a method to reduce the pollution of the ocean at Karachi. Separation can be done in various ways (methods). De semi-dry manure can be used for bedding the cattle building, fertilizer or can be pressed into briskets to be used in stoves.

To feed the buffaloes in the cattle colonies, 100 and more truck are driving daily to Karachi. The truck could take the dried manure back to the fields in order to be used as fertilizer.

Product
- TA for the promotion of biogas production and manure separation on cattle farms
- Development of investment packages
- Grants for investment in biogas units
- Grants for investment in manure separation units

Cost
- Grant in biogas units
  - investment range from $ 10,000 to $ 20,000/unit

- Grant in manure separation units
  - investment range from $ 5,000 to $ 10,000/unit
12.6 **Ad Intervention 6 – Milk Handling and Pasteurization**

**Constraints**
1. Self live of raw milk is very limited and therefore middle-men are adding chemicals to extend shelf life resulting in non food safe fresh milk
2. UHT milk is relative expensive due to its processing and packaging
3. Pasteurized milk is emerging on the market but insufficient to meet the growing demand
4. Shelf life of pasteurized milk is short due to a lack of an adequate cooling chain i.e. bulk milk coolers at farms

**Intervention**

**Activity 6.1. - Establishment of farm bulk milk coolers (500 – 1,500 liter)**
Milk cooling tanks will cool the fresh milk from 42 °C to < 5° C within 2 hours and this will reduce the bacteria growth in the milk. This will lead to a longer shelf life of processed milk products. As electricity supply is interrupted several times /day, a back-up generator should be supplied along with the milk cooling tank.

**Activity 6.2. - Establishment of small scale pasteurization units (1,000 – 5,000 liter/day)**
Because of the dangers of pathogenic bacteria, most milk is treated with pasteurization, a heat treatment originally developed to kill the bacterium that causes tuberculosis. Pasteurization is effective in destroying the bacteria in milk that cause tuberculosis, salmonellosis, diphtheria, typhoid fever, and other illnesses without adversely affecting the milk's nutritional content, flavor or quality.

Rapid cooling of the milk and storage below < 5° C following treatment help prevent milk spoilage and keep treated milk safe to drink. Despite the claims of raw milk advocates, public health agencies maintain that there are no known significant nutritional differences between unpasteurized and pasteurized milk and that pasteurized milk provides all the nutrients found naturally in raw milk. The benefits of destroying harmful bacteria far outweigh any potential health benefits claimed by raw milk advocates, and recent outbreaks of food borne illness associated with untreated milk have helped to renew public awareness of the dangers of raw milk consumption.
Product
- Promotion of investment in small scale pasteurization units
- TA for development of equipment investments packages
- Grants in investment in farm milk cooling tanks
- Grants for investment in pasteurization units

Cost
- Grant in milk cooling tanks
  - investment range from $5,000 to $10,000/unit
- Grant in pasteurization units
  - investment range from $25,000 to $100,000/unit
12.7 **Ad Intervention 7 - Institutional**

Constraints:
1. The dairy sector lacks a master plan for the development of the sector in which the private sector has a leading role.
2. Coordination between representatives of farmers, processors, government and input suppliers is lacking.
3. Farmers and consumers are in general not aware about quality and are therefore only price oriented in the purchase of products and services
4. An independent quality assessment system for food, feed and services is lacking

Intervention
7.1. Development of a council/platform stimulating regular meetings between stakeholders of subject matter issues
7.2. Formulation of practical advices and policy papers to the Government
7.3. Development of a seal of excellence for milk traders, dairy companies, feed mills, milk shops, vocational training units who are meeting set standards

Activity 7.1. - Development of a council/platform stimulating regular meetings between stakeholders on subject matter issues
Exchange of information and understanding between all stakeholders in the sector is the foundation for a sound and stable development of the Dairy Value Chain.

Currently the dairy processing sector is having its Pakistan Dairy Association whereas the corporate (large scale) dairy farmers are organized in the Pakistan Corporate Dairy farmers Association. The emerging mid-sized dairy farmers are not organized nor are the 1 million and more rural farmers. The same applies to the input supply sector.

It is recommended that ASF facilitate in regular meetings between the stakeholders on subject matter topics such as milk quality, profitable in the dairy chain etc. etc.

Activity 7.2. - Formulation of practical advices and policy papers to the Government
The Government is failing to prepare and implement relevant and adequate legislation in the dairy sector. The sector is in need of relevant legislation and could provide policy papers to the Ministry as concept papers for required legislation. This could also be one of the outcomes of activity 7.1.

Activity 7.3 - Development of a seal of excellence for milk traders, dairy companies, feed mills, milk shops and vocational training units that are meeting set standards
Serious and reliable companies have huge problems in marketing and selling their services and products as (in general) their costs are higher than companies acting on the gray/black market with inferior quality but with lower prices.

For clients and consumers it is impossible to assess which company is providing service to certain local and international standards and to assess whether the price and quality are in the right balance. Therefore ASF could develop some kind of seal of excellence to be given to those companies that are trading and selling products and services to international standards.
**Product**
- TA to promote and introduce quality criteria for food, feed and services
- TA for the development of a seal of excellence for those meeting the set standards
- Grant for the introduction of seal of excellence
- Conduct workshops with all stakeholders
- TA for the preparation of a working document for the establishment of a dairy council/platform
- TA for the preparation of subject matter strategy and policy papers

**Cost**
6. TA for facilitating meetings and consumption during the meetings.

In addition to the interventions proposed in the previous pages, the following steps are recommended in order to continuing advancements:
1. Agreement on interventions to be implemented
2. Development of factsheet on proposed interventions and factsheets
3. Preparation of ToR for additional expertise
4. Assessment model on investor profile
5. Promoting of interventions
6. Monitoring of interventions done
### 13 Annexes

#### 13.1 Excerpt from Dr. Hans Gerhard Wagner’s Report on Breed Improvement in Punjab (Jan 2012)

The situation analysis found that Punjab has unique genetic resources that are well adapted to its local conditions. There is an enormous untapped potential to increase the output for milk and meat to meet the requirements of the growing population and for possible export. The gap between supply and demand is projected to be 55 million tons of milk and 2.3 million tons of beef by 2020.

The breed improvement in Punjab is based on artificial insemination, a practice that is well accepted, with more than five million inseminations in cattle and buffalo annually.

Semen is produced by four public SPUs and 11 private SPUs. In terms of production, the private sector is clearly out-producing the public sector, at 3.7 million doses compared with 2.7 million doses in 2010/2011.

There is no mechanism in place for the accreditation of SPUs and AI technicians to guarantee that they meet required standards.

With the exception of some good but limited efforts by the Research Centre for Conservation of Sahiwal Cattle in Jhang, at the Buffalo Research Institute in Pattoki and at the Livestock Production Research Institute for cattle and buffalo, there is no continuous and consistent pedigree and performance recording scheme in place. Bulls are selected on the phenotypic absolute milk production of their dams without any genetic analysis and can therefore only be considered of random quality.

The scanty data that were analyzed in 2008 indicate that over the years, at least for Sahiwal, the genetic progress has hovered around zero.

There is no pedigree and performance program with the necessary number of animals and the consistency that would allow accurate selection based on the estimated breeding value of young bulls for the SPUs and their subsequent progeny testing. So no genetic progress can really be expected.

The importing of exotic animals alive or through embryos and the unregulated use of imported exotic semen has led to a herd of pure and exotic crosses of more than three million head, already more than the local Sahiwal population. If this trend continues, the local genetic resource that is considered unique in the world could be under threat.

The Directorate of Breed Improvement has developed, over time, a number of good projects, Acts, standards and regulations that, unfortunately, have not had the expected impact. Some of them have not been enacted while others could not be fully implemented due to a number of constraints – technical, financial and lack of human resources.
13.2 Milk Collection Chain and Margins Collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Small holder Farmer</th>
<th>Small holder Market oriented</th>
<th>Commercial farmers</th>
<th>Peri Urban farmers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs 35</td>
<td>Rs 38</td>
<td>Rs 39-42</td>
<td>Rs 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village MCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kacha Dodhi</td>
<td>+ Rs 3 -4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakka Dodhi</td>
<td>+ Rs 5 -7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>+ Rs 5 - 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoya makers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confectioners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer</td>
<td>Fresh milk 60 to 75</td>
<td>Pasteurized milk 80 to 85</td>
<td>UHT milk Rs 90 to 95</td>
<td>Yoghurt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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